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ABSTRACT

Objective: Patients presenting with severe head injuries in the emergency department necessitate long-term airway management with the help of tracheostomy or translaryngeal intubation. The objective of this study was to compare the role of early and late tracheostomy in patients presenting with severe head injuries.

Material and Methods: This randomized controlled trial study was conducted in the department of neurosurgery, Lahore General Hospital Lahore from March 2018 to August 2018, after taking approval from the ethical committee. A total of one hundred and thirty patients was divided randomly into early (within three days) and late (after three days) tracheostomy groups. After tracheostomy, data regarding acute physiology, age and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II), the total number of days since ventilation, tracheostomy, weaning, discharge from ICU and hospital, complications and mortality were noted. All the data was entered and analyzed with SPSS 23.0. Quantitative variables were presented as mean and standard deviation, qualitative variables were presented as numbers and percentage. The Chi-square test was applied. A p-value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 33.13 ± 2.53 years. There were 84 males (64.61%) and 46 females (35.38%) in the study. The duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU stay and stay in hospital for early and late tracheostomy groups were 25.68 ± 2.94 vs. 33.37 ± 3.32 days, 29.42 ± 2.97 vs. 38.54 ± 3.80 days and 37.20 ± 2.98 vs. 47.15 ± 3.84 days respectively. Four patients (3.08%) and seven patients (5.38%) suffered from mortality.

Conclusion: Early tracheostomy among the patients presenting with severe head injuries was associated with a better outcome than late tracheostomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Traumatic brain injuries result from abrupt and unbearable mechanical forces leading to contusion, concussion or intracranial bleed. During 2002-2006, in the United States, around fifty thousand patients died among 1.7 million patients presenting in the emergency department with traumatic brain injuries. Similar kind of figures was noted in low and middle-income countries accounting for 50% road traffic accidents and 75% accidents due to fall. In a multicenter study by Bhatti et al., in Pakistan around 12,125 patients presented in emergency departments with head injuries. The patients presenting in neurosurgery emergency with severe head injury are usually unconscious and have a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of ≤12. This kind of patients generally need sedatives and analgesics for a longer period of time and can develop certain respiratory problems and complications, which may affect their chances of survival and prognosis. Mostly these patients require ventilatory support with the help of tracheostomy or translaryngeal intubation.

According to some studies, as compared to the prolonged endotracheal intubation, tracheostomy usually has fewer risks by minimizing the need for mechanical ventilation and the total duration of stay in
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the intensive care unit. In a study by Lesnik et al.\textsuperscript{7} and D’Amelio et al.,\textsuperscript{8} it was noted that early tracheostomy is more beneficial than late tracheostomy. It was reported that patients who underwent late tracheostomy required ventilation for longer days and they had a higher frequency of pulmonary infections.\textsuperscript{9}

However, some studies suggest that tracheostomy may lead to certain complications for example pneumothorax, bleeding, subcutaneous emphysema, infections and in some cases tracheal stenosis. In a study by Kocaeli et al.,\textsuperscript{10} it was noted that in early tracheostomy intracranial pressure was increased twice whereas, in late tracheostomy, the increase was seen up to 30% only. Stocchetti et al.\textsuperscript{11} also supported these findings. Conversely, Milanchi et al.\textsuperscript{12} reported that there was no substantial increase in intracranial pressure in patients having a tracheostomy. So according to the existing literature, it is still uncertain whether the early tracheostomy is more beneficial than late tracheostomy.

The purpose of this study was to analyze the facts that whether early tracheostomy has more fruitful results as compared to late tracheostomy in patients presenting with head injuries and decreased the level of consciousness. In Pakistan, where the number of head injuries is increasing with the time, this study will help the clinicians in adopting early and better treatment and management plan for the patients presenting in emergency and neurosurgery department.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design

This randomized controlled trial (RCT) study was conducted in the department of neurosurgery, Lahore General Hospital Lahore from March 2018 to August 2018, after taking approval from the ethical committee.

Inclusion Criteria

A total of 130 patients was included. Patients > 18 years of age, presenting with head injuries and conscious level $\leq$ 9 according to Glasgow coma scale, admitted in ICU, on mechanical ventilation and having no history of pulmonary infection on chest x-ray were included in the study.

Informed consent was taken from the legal representative of each patient.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with GCS > 9, patients in whom tracheostomy was contra-indicated, patients who required emergency tracheostomy and patients with respiratory failure were excluded from this study. Patient who refused consent for this study.

Data Collection

Demographic data of the patients were collected. Patients were then randomized with a ratio of 1:1 using a lottery method. Early tracheostomy (Group – I) was performed within three days of admission and late tracheostomy (Group-II) was performed after three days i.e. 7\textsuperscript{th} to 10\textsuperscript{th} day or later. Tracheostomy was performed according to the standard procedures. Details about the tracheostomy i.e. timings, immediate and late complications were noted. Data regarding acute physiology, age and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) was gathered during the first twenty-four hours. Regular data on respiratory support was documented. Data of medicines including, sedatives and antibiotics were recorded. The total number of days since ventilation, tracheostomy, weaning, discharge from the ICU and hospital were noted. Complications and mortality were noted.

Data Analysis

All the data was entered and analyzed with SPSS 23.0. Quantitative variables were presented as mean and standard deviation, qualitative variables were presented as numbers and percentages Shapiro-Wilk test was used in order to check the normality of the data. Suitable statistical techniques were used. In order to analyze the associations between qualitative variables, the Chi-square test was applied. A $p$-value of $\leq 0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of the patients was 33.13 $\pm$ 2.53 years with a minimum age of 28 years and the maximum age of 38 years. There were 84 males (64.61%) and 46 females (35.38%) in the study. The mean age of male patients was 33.14 $\pm$ 2.46 years and female patients were 33.11 $\pm$ 2.68 years. The mean age in group I, i.e., in early tracheostomy group was 32.71 $\pm$ 2.46 years and in group II i.e. late tracheostomy group was 33.55 $\pm$ 2.55years. The mean Body Mass Index (BMI) of group I patients was 25.54 $\pm$ 1.70 kg/m$^2$ and of group II was 25.45 $\pm$ 1.71 kg/m$^2$. 

Table 1: Difference between two groups regarding mechanical ventilation and stay in ICU and Hospital.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean± Std. Deviation</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Tracheostomy</td>
<td>Late Tracheostomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Ventilation Days</td>
<td>25.68 ± 2.94</td>
<td>33.37 ± 3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Stay</td>
<td>29.42 ± 2.97</td>
<td>38.54 ± 3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Stay</td>
<td>37.20 ± 2.98</td>
<td>47.15 ± 3.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparisons of complications in two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Early Tracheostomy</th>
<th>Late Tracheostomy</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation associated pneumonia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>23</strong></td>
<td><strong>38</strong></td>
<td><strong>61</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean GCS score of the group-I patients was 7.00 ± 0.935 and of group – II was 7.88 ± 1.038. APACHE II score of the early tracheostomy group patients was 22.65 ± 3.07 and of late tracheostomy patients was 24.62 ± 4.62 (p = 0.005). The difference between the two groups regarding mechanical ventilation days, duration of ICU stay and the total duration of stay in hospital is given in Table 1.

Complications associated with each group were noticed throughout this period. A total of 61 patients (46.92%) including 23 cases from an early tracheostomy group and 38 cases from a late tracheostomy group suffered from different kinds of complications i.e. pneumothorax, sepsis, ventilation associated pneumonia and aspiration (Chi-square = 0.693, p = 0.875) (Table 2). Regarding the mortality of the patients, in early tracheostomy group, 4 (3.08%) patients suffered from mortality and in late tracheostomy group, 7 (5.38%) patients suffered from mortality (Chi-square = 0.804, p = 0.344).

DISCUSSION
According to the studies, it was suggested that early tracheostomy is better than a late tracheostomy in case of dependency of the patients on mechanical ventilation. Early tracheostomy results in a shorter duration of hospital stay. Rumbak et al.9 and Flaatten et al.1 have stated in their studies the shorter duration of mechanical ventilation in patients who underwent tracheostomy during initial days of hospitalization or admission in ICU. Our study also suggested the similar findings in case of dependency on mechanical ventilation i.e. 25.68 ± 2.94 days in early tracheostomy and 33.37 ± 3.32 in case of late tracheostomy (p = 0.000). Zagli et al.14 in their study noticed that in patients with early tracheostomy duration of mechanical ventilation was shorter than late tracheostomy (13.3 ± 9.6 and 20.8 ± 9.2 days). The point of difference between the studies was the timing of tracheostomy only.

In our study, ICU stay and hospital stay in early tracheostomy (29.42 ± 2.97 and 37.20 ± 2.98 days) was significantly shorter than the late tracheostomy (38.54 ± 3.80 and 47.15 ± 3.84) respectively. Our results are in accordance with the study by Mohamed et al.15. In this prospective randomized control trial study, it was noted that patients undergoing early tracheostomy were having significantly shorter ICU stay (p < 0.001) and hospital stay (p = 0.005) than patients undergoing a late tracheostomy.

The incidence of complications i.e. intra-operative and post-operative in our study was 46.92% i.e. 61 patients from both groups. The main complications noticed were sepsis (n = 24, 18.46%), pneumothorax
(n = 16, 12.31%), ventilation associated pneumonia (n = 10, 7.69%) and aspiration (n = 11, 8.46%). The complications were seen more in late tracheostomy group (n = 38) than the early tracheostomy group (n = 23). Our study results are in accordance with the findings of Mahafza et al.16 who suggested a higher incidence of complications in patients with late tracheostomy. Rumbak et al.9 also stated that patients with early tracheostomy experienced a lower incidence of complications than late tracheostomy group. Regarding the mortality of the patients, our study suggested that there mortality in early tracheostomy group (n = 4, 3.08%) was lower than the late tracheostomy group (n = 7, 5.38%). These findings are in accordance to the study by Mahafza et al.16 i.e., incidence of mortality was 26 (24.5%) and death ratio was remarkably higher in late tracheostomy group (36.1%) than early tracheostomy group (17.1%). However, Mohamed et al.15 in their study noted no significant statistical difference among early (n = 8, 40%) and late tracheostomy group (n = 8, 40%).

CONCLUSION
According to our study, early tracheostomy among the patients presenting with head injuries and having a GCS score ≤ 9 was associated with a better outcome in terms of shorter mechanical ventilation duration, shorter ICU and hospital stay, reduced complication rate and a lower mortality ratio.

LIMITATIONS
We conducted this study on a smaller number of patients (n = 130), so a study with a larger number of patients should be conducted.
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