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ABSTRACT
Ventriculoperitoneal shunting (VPS) is a common procedure involved in the management of hydrocephalus. VP shunt has many inherent complications including obstruction, infection, breakage, and migration of the shunt. The incidence of scrotal shunt migration is around 14% VPS migration into the scrotum manifests as reducible trans-illuminant scrotal swelling, which is more like hydrocele. Mostly migration occurs into the right hemi-scrotum within the first 6 months of performing a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Bilateral herniotomy with repositioning of the distal shunt catheter is the treatment of choice.
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INTRODUCTION
Ventriculoperitoneal shunting is a common procedure involved in the management of hydrocephalus. The procedure is done in order to redirect the flow of CSF from the ventricles to the peritoneum in Hydrocephalus.¹ VP shunt has many complications associated with it including proximal or distal catheter obstruction, breakage, and migration of the shunt. Shunt infection is also a very dangerous complication and a major cause of shunt failure.

Possible sites for VPS migration consist of the GIT tract, bladder, abdominal wall, mediastinum, vagina, and scrotum.² Male infants are at risk of shunt migration into the scrotum. The incidence of scrotal migration of shunt is around 14%.³ VPS migration into the scrotum manifests as scrotal swelling, which is reducible and trans-illuminant hydrocele and usually occurs through patent processus vaginalis (PPV), a developmental pathway of testicular descent. Most of the migrations are toward the right scrotum. They usually occur within the first 6 months of performing a ventriculoperitoneal shunt.⁴ 90% of boys at birth have patent processus vaginalis.
which becomes 50% at 1 year, (50 – 60% at age 1 year). Increase in abdominal pressure following VP shunt implantation due to in-flow of cerebrospinal fluid may be a causative factor in the prolonged patency of the processus vaginalis. Bilateral herniotomy with the procedure of repositioning of the shunt tube is one of the treatment approaches.

A case of scrotal migration of VPS in a 5-month old male infant was reported.

**CASE REPORT**

A 5-month old infant presented with Increasing head size since birth. On examination, the patient had macrocephaly along with sun setting eye signs and bulging anterior fontanelle. OFC was noted to be 54 cm. The rest of the neurological and general examination was unremarkable. MRI brain showed communicating hydrocephalus. Ventriculoperitoneal shunting was done. On 4th post-operative day patient developed right-sided testicular swelling. On examination, the swelling was fluctuant, non-tender, and trans-illuminant.

![Figure 1: Post OP scan of the patient showing shunt dysfunction.](image1)

![Figure 2: Preop MRI scan of patient’s brain showing communicating hydrocephalus.](image2)

![Figure 3: Abdominal x-ray of patient showing migration of VPS tip.](image3)
On Palpation a hard-tubular structure was noted with a normal opposite side. Abdominal x-ray showed distal catheter migration into right hemiscrotum along with right paracolic gutter. Patient also developed signs of raised ICP including vomiting and bulging fontanelle. CT scan showed a normally place ventricular tip with dilated ventricles. Pediatric surgery consultation was done followed by patent processus vaginalis (PPV) ligation and reposition of the shunt into the peritoneal cavity. Postoperatively, the patient recovered well with the settlement of raised ICP signs and symptoms.

![Figure 4: Surgical closure of processus vaginalis and repositioning of shunt into the peritoneal cavity.](image)

**DISCUSSION**

Congenital hydrocephalus is a clinical entity in which cerebrospinal fluid starts accumulating inside the ventricular system of the brain. The reported occurrence of congenital hydrocephalus is almost about 0.2–0.5/1000 live births.6 The procedure is commonly used for patients with hydrocephalus and it is best known due to the excellent resorptive function of the peritoneum for fluid. This is called ventriculoperitoneal shunting.7 Composition of VP shunt is a pressure valve, a ventricular catheter, and a distal peritoneal catheter. The function of the ventricular catheter is to redirect the flow of cerebrospinal fluid from ventricular space into peritoneum via distal end while drainage of fluid is controlled by valves.8

Shunt malfunction due to distal catheter migration is an uncommon complication that occurs hours to years after the placement of the shunt system.7 Distal shunt catheters can migrate into various organ systems including the gastrointestinal tract, urinary bladder, and chest.9 Scrotal shunt migration is a possible but rare site for a shunt to migrate and till now only 10 cases of scrotal shunt migration have been described in the literature. The prevalence of distal catheter scrotal migration is between 3.8 and 16.8% and 60% of the distal catheter migration occurs into the right side as in our case.10

The first patient with scrotal migration was reported by Ramani et al., in 1974.11 The majority (n = 54) of the patients have been children with only one adult patient being reported by Rehm et al., in 1997.12 Even among children, most (84.8%) of the migrations occur in children < 2 years of age. There has been only one report of herniation of the shunt through the canal of Nuck in a female infant.13 The largest series of 10 patients with an inguinoscrotal migration was by Pandey et al., in 2010.

Various pathophysiologic mechanism can be responsible for distal catheter migration. Intra-abdominal pressure increases after VP shunting firstly due to CSF drained from the ventricle into the abdominal cavity and secondly due to small peritoneal volume to body surface area in young infants.

Failure of the processus vaginalis to close is
another factor in distal catheter migration into the scrotum.\textsuperscript{14,15} This is normally patent structure in almost 60 – 70% of infants of three months while it is about 50 – 60% at age of 1 year and 40% at the age of 2 – 16 years.\textsuperscript{16} Increased intra-abdominal pressure stops the closing of processus vaginalis and later this helps in shunt herniation.\textsuperscript{17} It is also reported that scrotal shunt migration has an association with the formation of hernias in children (right – 60%, left – 30%, bilateral – 10%).\textsuperscript{5}

The residual peritoneal volume is linearly correlated with the body surface area at approximately 80 ml/m\(^2\). Younger children tend to have patent processus vaginalis and smaller peritoneal cavity, so the VP shunt catheter may easily migrate into the scrotum.\textsuperscript{3} Moreover, the inguinal canal is also vertical aligned at this young age and combined with a PPV and raised intra-abdominal pressure makes it easier for the distal shunt catheter into the scrotum.\textsuperscript{18}

CONCLUSION

In our case young age along with patent processus vaginalis (PPV) and raised intraabdominal pressure was the possible cause for shunt migration.
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