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Role of Dexamethasone in Recurrent and Residual Chronic Subdural Hematoma

Syed Aamir shah¹, Fahmida Arab Mallah², Abdul Razaque Mari², Imran Mirbaher², Hamid Akbar Sheikh², Muzmil Dilbar², Shams Raza Brohi³

¹Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University (SZABMU), PIMS, Islamabad
²Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences for Women, Nawabshah – Shaheed Benazir Abad
³Suleman Roshan Medical College, Tando Adam – Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the effectiveness of dexamethasone in recurrent and residual chronic subdural hematoma as a monotherapy.

Material and Methods: A prospective Quasi-Experimental study was conducted at the Neurosurgery Department, Peoples Medical College Hospital, Nawabshah from July 2014 to June 2020. Patients were assessed by Markwalder’s neurological grading and intravenous dexamethasone was used as monotherapy and effects were monitored.

Results: Out of 280 patients 100 patients had recurrent and 180 patients had a residual chronic subdural hematoma. Male n = 180, female n = 100 with male to female ratio 1.8:1. Patients with Markwalder Grade 0, 1, and 2 were included and Grade 3 & 4 with marked midline shift on plain Computed tomographic scan brain were excluded. Intravenous dexamethasone of 12 mg in three divided doses for 24 hours given for first 2 weeks then shifted on oral dexamethasone and gradually tapered in next 2 weeks. During this period patient was evaluated for fluctuation in blood sugar or epigastric pain. Serial follow-up of patient was done, 16.07% improved from Markwalder Grade – 2 to 0, Markwalder Grade 1 to 0 in 12.14%. Complete resolution of hematoma occurred in 53.57%. Radiological deterioration with increased volume of hematoma in n = 40 and worsening to Markwalder Grad – 3 n = 15 and Grade – 4 n = 25. Death in n = 11. Ptosis in n = 1 and focal fits in n = 3.

Conclusion: Monotherapy with dexamethasone in recurrent and residual chronic Subdural Hematoma results in clinical improvement and reduces the rate of re-operation.
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INTRODUCTION

Wepfer in 1656 first time described Chronic Subdural Hematoma (CSDH) as an endomembrane accumulation of blood and its metabolites that encased between dura mater and the arachnoida.\(^1\) It is due to rupture of bridging cerebral veins, as a result of trivial trauma in atrophic brain and coagulopathy disorder. Clinical presentation varied from asymptomatic to severe life-threatening conditions and most commonly Markwalder neurological grading is used to assess the status of patients suffering from CSDH.\(^2,3\)

Chronic subdural hematoma is typically considered as an elder population disease with a frequency of (Seven/100,000 people) with a peak age of 70 years.\(^4\) In around 60 to 80%, the trivial traumatic event is accounted for hemorrhage.\(^5\) Risk factors for developing chronic SDH are Alcoholism; concomitant; illnesses like hepatic disease, renal dysfunction, diabetes mellitus, brain atrophy, usage of anti-clotting anti-aggregates, and presence of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Somehow Pathophysiology of CSDH is still not very well understood.\(^6,7\)

Recently few published research had mentioned detailed ultra-structural meningeal and neo-membrane anatomy with the formation of hematoma, pro-inflammatory, fibrinolytic, angiogenic, and coagulation-cascade factors.\(^8\) As of now, CSDH is better explained as a persistent self-propagating inflammatory method that occludes the dura mater.\(^9-10\)

Surgery is always proved to be the best management option. A few known methods of surgery have been utilized like craniotomy with single or twin burr holes with or without the use of irrigation and drainage system, and trephination with twist drill at the site of maximum hematoma thickness.\(^11-12\)

Comorbid diseases are mostly encountered with CSDH that may have a poor impact on prognosis and postoperative outcome. In reality, mortality and morbidity along with re-accumulation of CSDH are somehow directly correlated with preoperative general condition and co-morbidities rather than direct influence or failure of surgery. The pace of recurrence of CSDH after the procedure is somewhere in the range of 3.7% and 30%.\(^13-14\)

Dexamethasone has been chosen over methyl prednisone because of its independent body weight, longest biological half-life, and more power than prednisone, having good randomization and binding capacity, medication fixation in Cerebro Spinal Fluid CSF related with a key concentration in plasma.\(^15\)

In this study, we used dexamethasone as a monotherapy in patients with recurrent or residual CSDH, who had presented with Markwalder 0,1 and 2, with a thickness of hematoma less than 25mm and midline shift less than 5mm on Computed Tomography Scan (CT scan) of the brain. The effect of dexamethasone in these patients was vigilantly noticed and documented.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting

We conducted a descriptive type of study in the department of neurosurgery Peoples Medical College hospital Nawabshah from July 2014 to June 2020.

Inclusion Criteria

We included a patient with age above 15 years and Markwalder Neurological grading for CSDH 0, 1, & 2, recurrent or residual unilateral or bilateral CSDH on plain CT scan of the brain with clot thickness of less than 25mm and midline shift of less than 5mm, coagulation profile within normal limits, not willing for surgery and prefer to take dexamethasone trial.

Exclusion Criteria

We excluded patients age below 15 years,
Markwalder grade 3, & 4, Clot thickness of more than 25mm, midline shift of more than 5mm, CSDH in the presence of VP Shunt, already on immunosuppressive and chemotherapeutic drugs, active variceal or rectal bleeding, active gastric ulcer, and uncontrolled diabetes mellitus.

**Data Collection Procedure**

For all patients with recurrent or residual chronic SDH admitted in the neurosurgery ward, Markwalder neurological grading was used to assess the clinical status of patients with recurrent or residual chronic SDH hematoma, and a plain CT scan of the brain was done to check the volume of hematoma and midline shift. An approval from the hospital ethical committee was received, close relatives were informed, counseled and written consent was obtained.

**Data Analysis**

Data were analyzed by using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Services) version 20, mean and standard deviation, was calculated for numerical data like age. Categorical data like gender, clinical symptoms, complications, and comorbidities were presented as percentages and frequencies. Dexamethasone was started on the very first day of admission and the effect of management was assessed and documented on proforma from the first day of treatment.

**RESULTS**

**Age and Demographic Assessment**

Among 1000 cases chronic subdural hematoma was presented in 100 patients with recurrent and 180 patients had a residual chronic subdural hematoma (Figure 1). Out of 280 patients in which male patients were 64% and female were 36%. With proportional ratio for a male to female was 1.8:1 (Figure 2).

**Dexamethasone Treatment Protocol**

All patients were admitted to the neurosurgery department and monotherapy with dexamethasone of 12 mg into three divided doses per 24 hours along with a cover of proton pump inhibitor of 40 mg intravenous was given for first 7 days, next week 8 mg dexamethasone into three divided doses with a cover of proton pump inhibitor of 40 mg intravenous was given. Then shifted to oral dexamethasone 3 mg per 24 hours into 3 divided doses for almost 2 weeks. During this period, the patient was evaluated for blood sugar and burning epigastric pain. During the first 2 weeks of dexamethasone intravenous
therapy patient was kept in the neurosurgery ward, then discharged to home with instruction and regularly followed up as an outdoor on every week for up to 35 days was carried out. First Scan was done after 4 weeks. The patient’s first follow-up was after 2 weeks, the second follow-up was after 4 weeks, and so on for up to 1 year.

Comorbid Illness and Etiology
In our study, 17.86% of patients were hypertensive, Ischemic Heart Disease (IHD) plus hypertension was in 14.29%, Diabetes Mellitus was in 5.36% and hypertension with ischemic heart disease was in 5.36% of patients.

7.14% were relatively younger, having a previous mild head injury and 3.57% with slipped over stairs. 42.86% were already on anti-clotting acetylsalicylic acid therapy and trivial trauma was found in 46.43% of patients.

Clinical Follow-up and Radiological Assessment
Out of 280 patients, 16.07% patient had Markwalder Grade – 2 and 12.14% patients had Markwalder Grad – 1 with mini mal chronic SDH. Subsequently, 53.57% of patients with Markwalder Grade – 0 showed complete resolution of hematoma after 6 weeks. In 14.29% of patients, the volume of chronic SDH increased in size and presented with marked midline shift with neurological worsening to Markwalder grade 3 in 15 and grade 4 in 25 patients, these patients were considered for reoperation (Table 1). While 28.57% of patients showed complete resolution of chronic SDH after 2 months and 25% of patients showed complete resolution after 4 months which is evident on CT scans of the brain (Figures 3 and 4). After 2 weeks of surgery one patient developed left 3rd nerve ptosis for an unknown reason and 3 patients had focal facial fits, which were controlled with an anticonvulsant.
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Figure 4: Before and after dexamethasone therapy images of left Front parietal CSDH (chronic subdural hematoma).

Table 1: Markwalder neurological grading, radiological analysis follow up and outcome of chronic subdural hematoma with dexamethasone therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Clinical Findings</th>
<th>Outcome and Regular Follow-up</th>
<th>Number of Patients who Required Reoperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>1  0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Markwalder Grade 3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Markwalder Grade 4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>CT Scan Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Clot thickness</td>
<td>&gt; 25mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Midline Shift</td>
<td>&gt; 5mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Complete Resolution of Hematoma</td>
<td>85  65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>6  5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Despite multimodality surgical options recurrent rate and incomplete evacuation of CSDH is fairly common. Due to anti-inflammatory, anti-angiogenic, and pro-resorbing effects, corticosteroids are considered to be beneficial in the management of CSDH.

In our study, we used dexamethasone as a monotherapy in patients that were previously operated on and present with recurrent and residual CSDH, because of the high rate of mortality and morbidity we did not consider corticosteroids as a primary monotherapy in patients with Markwalder grade III and IV, and clot thickness on plain CT scan of brain > 25mm and midline shift > 5mm, these patients have a fairly high chance of brain herniation and fixed neuro deficit even death. The original Markwalder classification includes 0, asymptomatic, 1 mild symptoms, 2 severe deficits, 3 stupors, 4 deeply comatose.

Surgery is the best option in such a condition. The first surgical treatment of CSDH with corticosteroid was mentioned by Ambrosetto in 1958. In 1962 first trial of Corticosteroid therapy was done in a cohort study of 85 patients with CSDH, 75 (40.01%) were successfully managed with 60mg of dexamethasone enteral or parental therapy.

Dexamethasone therapy was used as an adjuvant to the post-surgical evacuation of CSDH. Various analytics studied managed chronic SDH conservatively with dexamethasone before putting burr hole, which had markedly reduced the rate of recurrence. Emich et al. reported that more than 50% of neurological surgeons in Northern Ireland and the United Kingdom, 13% in Canada, 40% in France used corticosteroids postoperatively in CSDH. The exact prevalence in the Pakistani population is not known because of the unavailability of online literature material.

A randomized multicenter study conducted in 2020 reported that the use of oral steroids may decrease the chance of reoperation but adverse effects are higher than the overall benefit. While in our study 81.7% had a favorable outcome, only 14.2% of patients were re-operated reason could

### Table 2: Comparison of the present study with literature reviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Total Number of Patients</th>
<th>Type and Dose of Steroids</th>
<th>Status of Chronic SDH</th>
<th>Route of Administration, Duration/Tapering</th>
<th>Neurological Scale</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reoperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Dexamethasone 12 mg in 3 divided doses per day.</td>
<td>Recurrent Or Residual</td>
<td>Both Intravenous and oral 2-week duration Tapered over 2 weeks = total 4weeks</td>
<td>Markwalder neurologic scale 0, 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Favorable outcome 81.7%, Death 3.9%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchison et al.</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>Dexamethasone 8 mg twice a day</td>
<td>The first line of therapy</td>
<td>Oral 2 weeks</td>
<td>Modified Rankin scale 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Favorable outcome 82% Death 8.8%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prud’homme et al.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4 mg in 3 divided doses</td>
<td>First line of therapy</td>
<td>Oral 3 weeks</td>
<td>Modified Rankin scale 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Favorable outcome 60% Death 20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petralia et al.</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4 mg and variable dose thrice a day</td>
<td>The first line of therapy. Duration variable</td>
<td>Route not mentioned 31 days</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

be careful patient selection, while omitting severely disabled patients with a remarkable thick clot on CT scan of the brain.

Similarly, Prud’homme et al, had reported a favorable outcome of about 60% and fewer side effects along with less chance of reoperation about 10% in very small sample size and short doze of steroids.21

Casteno and others used steroids as a first line of therapy in all asymptomatic patients and they also found that the rate of re-surgery is quite low about 8.2% as compared to a conservative group, in contradicting they used variable doze of steroids and had not mentioned outcome.22

In our study we had found a remarkable reduction in the rate of reoperation, further its clear from various above mentioned research and other current studies, that chronic subdural hematoma when managed conservatively without steroids, mostly these patients end up had reoperation.23-24

In our observation, even reoperation was quite easier in patients who had taken steroids, due to fragile neo-membrane and lake of septaion, however, the overall chance of non-healing wound may be fairly common. So maximum benefit of steroids could be achieved in chronic subdural hematoma when prescribed dose is moderate, given at a regular interval of about 8 hours under direct observation especially in patients who had mild symptoms, thin clot on CT scan of the brain.

LIMITATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Our study is of unique type as it only includes the patients who had recurrent or residual CSDH along with good Markwalder grade 0, 1, 2, and without evidence of marked herniation on CT scan radiology which favors good outcome. However further research work should be done on the pathophysiology of CSDH and use of other targeted monotherapy or adjuvant therapy along with steroids, statin drugs, tranexamic acid, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, osmotic therapy, Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors.

CONCLUSION

Utilization of corticosteroids therapy for recurrent and residual CSDH prove to be effective in patients with Markwalder 0, 1, 2, and midline shift less than 5. It markedly improved the neurological outcome, reduced the rate of mortality and morbidity especially in elderly patients and who refuse to re-operate. However, basic research that defines new pathways and mechanism of action of drugs that used for management of CSDH should be done at a higher level to target CSDH.
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